

1997 RUTGERS Turfgrass Proceedings



THE NEW JERSEY TURFGRASS ASSOCIATION

In Cooperation With

RUTGERS COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
NEW JERSEY AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
NEW BRUNSWICK

Distributed in cooperation with U.S. Department of Agriculture in furtherance of the Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 30, 1914. Cooperative Extension work in agriculture, home economics, and 4-H. Zane R. Helsel, Director of Extension. Rutgers Cooperative Extension provides information and educational services to all people without regard to sex, race, color, national origin, disability or handicap, or age. Rutgers Cooperative Extension is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

1997 RUTGERS TURFGRASS PROCEEDINGS

of the

New Jersey Turfgrass Expo December 9-11, 1997 Trump Taj Mahal Atlantic City, New Jersey

The Rutgers Turfgrass Proceedings is published yearly by the Rutgers Center for Turfgrass Science, Rutgers Cooperative Extension, and the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Cook College, Rutgers University in cooperation with the New Jersey Turfgrass Association. The purpose of this document is to provide a forum for the dissemination of information and the exchange of ideas and knowledge. The proceedings provide turfgrass managers, research scientists, extension specialists, and industry personnel with opportunities to communicate with co-workers. Through this forum, these professionals also reach a more general audience, which includes the public. Articles appearing in these proceedings are divided into two sections.

The first section (white pages) includes lecture notes of papers presented at the 1997 New Jersey Turfgrass Expo. Publication of the New Jersey Turfgrass Expo Notes provides a readily

available source of information covering a wide range of topics. The Expo Notes include technical and popular presentations of importance to the turfgrass industry.

The second section (green pages) includes technical research papers containing original research findings and reviews covering selected subjects in turfgrass science. The primary objective of these papers is to facilitate the timely dissemination of original turfgrass research for use by the turfgrass industry.

Special thanks are given to those who have submitted papers for this proceedings, to the New Jersey Turfgrass Association for financial assistance, and to those individuals who have provided support to the Rutgers Turf Research Program at Cook College - Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.

Dr. Ann B. Gould, Editor
Dr. Bruce B. Clarke, Coordinator

INCIDENCE OF *NEOTYPHODIUM* ENDOPHYTE IN SEED LOTS OF CULTIVARS AND SELECTIONS OF THE 1996 NATIONAL TALL FESCUE TEST

Jennifer Johnson-Cicalese, Noah Haas, Tara Masters, Bhavik Bhandari, Gwyneth Mansue, and William Meyer¹

Since researchers began learning of the significance of endophyte infection in grasses 20 years ago (Bacon et al., 1977), a wealth of information has been gained. *Neotyphodium* endophytes have been found in many grasses, and infection by these fungi has been associated with enhanced performance, stress tolerance, and insect and disease resistance (Breen, 1994; Funk and White, 1997). These fungi can also have detrimental effects on grazing mammals, and this factor has limited the use of endophytes in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.), an important pasture grass. However, many turf-type cultivars have now been developed and tall fescue has become an important turfgrass species.

In 1996, the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program (NTEP) distributed seed for a National Tall Fescue Test to many locations around the country. These tests will be evaluated for a number of years, and the performance data will be used by researchers and by turfgrass managers when selecting new cultivars. Since endophytic fungi can have a significant impact on turf performance, it is important to know the degree to which seed of these cultivars is infected with endophyte. Therefore, we analyzed remnant seed of the 129 entries in this test and report the percentage of seed infected with endophyte (which may or may not be viable).

PROCEDURE

A sample of seed was taken from each entry in the 1996 National Tall Fescue Test and stained using the rose bengal staining method (Saha et al., 1988). Seeds were soaked in an alkaline

solution (5.0% aqueous ethyl alcohol, 0.5% rose bengal, and 2.5% sodium hydroxide) for 20 to 24 hours, rinsed thoroughly in water, and then soaked in a 0.25% aqueous rose bengal solution for 6 hours. Samples were then refrigerated until evaluated. Twenty five Individual seeds were squashed and examined under a microscope at 200X for evidence of endophyte. Where endophyte was detected, an additional 50 seeds were examined to increase the accuracy of each estimate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Of the 129 cultivars and selections examined, 101 entries (78%) had seeds infected with endophyte (Table 1). Of these, 21 entries (16%) had high infection levels (greater than 75% of seeds infected), 49 (38%) had moderate infection levels (25 to 75%), 31 (24%) had low infection levels (less than 25%), and 28 entries (22%) had no infected seeds. Compared to similar data from the 1992 National Tall Fescue Test, this represents an increase in endophyte content of turf-type tall fescues. In the 1992 test, 13% of the entries were highly infected with endophyte, and 30% were moderately infected.

Unfortunately, the turfgrass plants that develop from the tested seed lots may not be infected with endophyte to the same level reported in Table 1. As infected seeds germinate the endophytic fungus grows into the developing seedling and continues to live in the mature grass plant. However, the endophyte can lose viability in seed that has been stored for over a year or under warm, humid conditions. Thus, it is possible that some turfgrass plots established

¹ Post-Doctoral Research Associate, Research Assistants, and Research Professor, respectively, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Cook College, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901.

in the 1996 National Test may have lower levels of infection than indicated in Table 1 (endophyte content of seed). Analysis of plant tissue from this field test could be used to confirm this possibility.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station Publication No. E-12264-4-98. This work was conducted as part of NJAES Project No. 12155, supported by New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station Funds, Rutgers Center for Turfgrass Science, Hatch Act Funds, other grants and gifts.

LITERATURE CITED

Bacon, C. W., Porter, J.K., Robbins, J. D., and Luttrell, E. S. 1977. *Epichloe typhina* from

toxic tall fescue grasses. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 34:576-581.

Breen, J. P. 1994. *Acremonium* endophyte interactions with enhanced plant resistance to insects. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 39:401-423.

Funk, C. R., and White, J. F., Jr. 1997. Use of natural and transformed endophytes for turf improvement. In Bacon and Hill, ed., *Neotyphodium/Grass Interactions*, Plenum Press, New York.

Saha, D. C., Jackson, M. A., and Johnson-Cicalese, J. M. 1988. A rapid staining method for detection of endophytic fungi in turf and forage grasses. *Phytopathology* 78:237-239.

Table 1. Percent endophyte infection of seeds from cultivars and selections entered in the 1996 National Tall Fescue Test. (NOTE: The endophyte in these seeds are not necessarily viable and the infection rate in the resulting turf plots may be lower.)

| NTEP No. | Cultivar or Selection | Endophyte infection ¹ (%) |
|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 117 | Coronado Gold (PST-5RT) | 97 |
| 29 | Rembrandt (LTP-4026 E+) | 95 |
| 1 | ATF-192 | 91 |
| 7 | ATF-253 | 91 |
| 114 | Masterpiece (LTP-SD-TF) | 91 |
| 87 | Wolfpack (PST-R5TK) | 89 |
| 110 | PRO 8430 | 88 |
| 120 | ZPS-2PTF | 88 |
| 85 | PST-5TO | 87 |
| 89 | Gazelle | 85 |
| 119 | Pick RT-95 | 84 |
| 128 | Shenandoah | 83 |
| 2 | ATF-196 | 81 |
| 94 | Coronado | 81 |
| 108 | SRX 8084 | 80 |
| 83 | Kentucky-31 E+ | 79 |
| 92 | Tomahawk-E | 79 |
| 23 | Alamo E+ | 78 |
| 11 | AA-A91 | 77 |
| 82 | ISI-TF11 | 76 |
| 109 | SR 8210 | 76 |
| 75 | Crossfire II | 75 |
| 22 | Pixie E+ | 72 |
| 32 | Anthem (TMI-FMN) | 72 |
| 81 | ISI-TF-9 | 72 |
| 98 | Titan 2 | 72 |
| 118 | Jaguar 3 | 72 |
| 100 | EA 41 | 71 |
| 93 | Tarheel | 70 |
| 8 | ATF-257 | 69 |

Table 1 (continued).

| NTEP No. | Cultivar or Selection | Endophyte infection ¹ (%) |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 52 | Bravo (RG-93) | 68 |
| 84 | ZPS-5LZ | 68 |
| 31 | Millenium (TMI-RBR) | 64 |
| 73 | WRS2 | 64 |
| 36 | Bonsai 2000 | 59 |
| 41 | Mustang II | 59 |
| 42 | ATF-188 | 59 |
| 46 | OFI-96-31 | 59 |
| 123 | PST-523 | 59 |
| 91 | Coyote | 56 |
| 33 | Equinox (TMI-N91) | 55 |
| 95 | Apache II | 55 |
| 30 | Plantation (Pennington-1901) | 51 |
| 77 | Pick FA N-93 | 51 |
| 53 | WVPB-1D | 48 |
| 72 | Cochise II | 47 |
| 99 | Lion | 47 |
| 54 | WVPB-1C | 45 |
| 96 | SS45DW | 44 |
| 122 | Bonsai | 44 |
| 5 | ATF-182 | 43 |
| 26 | Pick FA 15-92 | 43 |
| 113 | Empress | 43 |
| 40 | Pick FA B-93 | 40 |
| 88 | Bandana (PST-R5AE) | 40 |
| 76 | Pick GA-96 | 39 |
| 24 | J-101 | 36 |
| 34 | Twilight II (TMI-TW) | 33 |
| 51 | PC-AO | 33 |
| 28 | R5AU | 30 |
| 38 | BAR FA 6LV | 29 |
| 47 | OFI-96-32 | 29 |
| 18 | J-3 | 28 |
| 74 | WX3-275 | 28 |
| 121 | Sunpro | 28 |

Table 1 (continued).

| NTEP No. | Cultivar or Selection | Endophyte infection ¹ (%) |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 111 | Pick FA 20-92 | 27 |
| 16 | Arid | 27 |
| 21 | J-5 | 27 |
| 112 | Pick FA XK-95 | 27 |
| 35 | Aztec II (TMI-AZ) | 25 |
| 39 | Pick FA UT-93 | 24 |
| 25 | Shortstop II | 23 |
| 55 | Koos 96-14 | 23 |
| 49 | JSC-1 | 21 |
| 86 | PST-5E5 | 21 |
| 90 | Safari | 19 |
| 62 | MB 213 | 18 |
| 14 | CU9501T | 17 |
| 45 | DLF-1 | 17 |
| 67 | Renegade | 17 |
| 69 | Falcon II | 16 |
| 116 | PST-5M5 | 16 |
| 102 | OFI-951 | 15 |
| 61 | MB 212 | 13 |
| 64 | MB 215 | 12 |
| 68 | Southern Choice | 12 |
| 9 | Tulsa | 11 |
| 15 | CU9502T | 11 |
| 48 | EC-101 | 11 |
| 56 | MB 26 | 11 |
| 101 | OFI-FWY | 11 |
| 3 | ATF-22 | 9 |
| 50 | AV-1 | 9 |
| 71 | Duster | 9 |
| 59 | MB 210 | 8 |
| 60 | MB 211 | 8 |
| 63 | MB 214 | 8 |
| 66 | Marksman | 8 |
| 129 | Genesis | 8 |
| 13 | AA-983 | 7 |

Table 1 (continued).

| NTEP No. | Cultivar or Selection | Endophyte infection ¹ (%) |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 57 | MB 28 | 7 |
| 4 | ATF-38 | 0 |
| 6 | ATF-20 | 0 |
| 10 | Regiment | 0 |
| 12 | AA-989 | 0 |
| 17 | J-98 | 0 |
| 19 | DP 50-9011 | 0 |
| 20 | DP 7952 | 0 |
| 27 | Pick FA 6-91 | 0 |
| 37 | BAR FA 6D | 0 |
| 43 | TA-7 | 0 |
| 44 | WVBP-1B | 0 |
| 58 | MB 29 | 0 |
| 65 | MB 216 | 0 |
| 70 | BAR FA6 US6F | 0 |
| 78 | JTTFA-96 | 0 |
| 79 | JTTFC-96 | 0 |
| 80 | ISI-TF10 | 0 |
| 97 | SSDE31 | 0 |
| 103 | OFI-931 | 0 |
| 104 | Finelawn Petite | 0 |
| 105 | PSII-TF-10 | 0 |
| 106 | PSII-TF-9 | 0 |
| 107 | SRX 8500 | 0 |
| 115 | Leprechaun | 0 |
| 124 | BAR Fa6 US1 | 0 |
| 125 | BAR Fa6 US2U | 0 |
| 126 | BAR Fa6 US3 | 0 |
| 127 | BAR Fa6D USA | 0 |

¹ Percent infection based on 75 seeds examined for each endophyte-infected entry and 25 seeds for each endophyte-free entry.