# NATIONAL TURFGRASS EVALUATION PROGRAM

The National Turfgrass Evaluation Program (NTEP) is designed to develop and coordinate uniform evaluation trials of turfgrass varieties and promising selections in the United States and Canada. Test results can be used by national companies and plant breeders to determine the broad picture of the adaptation of a cultivar. Results can also be used to determine if a cultivar is well adapted to a local area or level of turf maintenance.

Briefly, the NTEP is a self-supporting, non-profit program, sponsored by the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center and the National Turfgrass Federation, Inc. Program policy is made by a policy committee consisting of one member from each of the four (4) Regional Turfgrass Research Committees in the United States, one member from the Lawn Seed Division of the American Seed Trade Association, one member from the United States Golf Association (USGA) Green Section, one member from the Golf Course Superintendents Assoc. of America (GCSAA), one member for the Turfgrass Producers International (TPI), one member from the Turfgrass Breeders Association and an executive director. The program does not make variety recommendations. However, the data from tests can be used by extension specialists and others for making recommendations.

The policy committee is responsible for determining program policy including, (1) requirements for submission of entries, (2) scheduling tests, (3) evaluation methods, (4) selecting standard or control test entries, (5) setting entry fees, (6) coordinating tests in their respective regions, (7) establishing guidelines for publication and data distribution and (8) scheduling committee meetings.

Executive Director - Kevin N. Morris, National Turfgrass Evaluation Program, Inc.

### **CURRENT POLICY COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

Dr. Melodee Fraser, Pure-Seed Testing, Inc. Mr. Paul Hedgpeth, Columbia River Seeds, Inc. Dr. Mike Kenna, USGA Green Section Dr. Doug Karcher, University of Arkansas Dr. David Kopec, University of Arizona Mr. Warren Bell, Biograss Sod Farms Dr. Ken Diesburg, Southern Illinois University Mr. Pat McClain, Burlingham Seeds Dr. Scott Ebdon, University of Massachusetts

# FOR ADDITIONAL REPORTS OR INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kevin Morris, Executive Director National Turfgrass Evaluation Program Beltsville Agricultural Research Center-West Building 005, Room 307 Beltsville, Maryland 20705 <u>kmorris@ntep.org</u> www.ntep.org

# CONTENTS

2007 National St. Augustinegrass Test - 2007-12 data
LOCATIONS SUBMITTING DATA FOR 2007-121
NATIONAL ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS TEST, 2007 Entries and Sponsors2
Table A -2007-12 Locations, Site Descriptions and Management Practices in the 2007 National St. Augustinegrass Test
Table B - Locations and Data Collected in 2007-12
Table 1 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Four Locations in the U.S. for AMMI Group 1 in 20084
Table 2 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Four Locations in the U.S. for AMMI Group 2 in 20084
Table 3 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at One Location in the U.S. for AMMI Group 1 in 20115
Table 4 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Three Locations in the U.S. for AMMI Group 2 in 20115
Table 5 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown in Location Performance Index (LPI) Group 1 in 20126
Table 6 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown in Location Performance Index (LPI) Group 2 in 20126
Table 7 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Gainesville, FL
Table 8 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Griffin, GA7
Table 9 - Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Miss. St., MS8
Table 10- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at Raleigh, NC8
Table 11- Mean Turfgrass Quality Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars Grown at College Station, TX
Table 12- Genetic Color Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table 13- Spring Greenup Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table 14- Leaf Texture Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table 15- Spring Density Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars

# CONTENTS (continued)

Table	16-	Summer Density Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars11
Table	17-	Fall Density Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	18-	Percent Living Ground Cover (Spring) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars12
Table	19-	Percent Living Ground Cover (Summer) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars13
Table	20-	Percent Living Ground Cover (Fall) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars13
Table	21-	Winter Color Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	22-	Percent Winter Kill Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars14
Table	23-	Brown Patch (Warm Temperature) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars15
Table	24-	Gray Leaf Spot Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars15
Table	25-	Take-all Patch Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars16
Table	26-	Fall Color (September) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars16
Table	27-	Fall Color (October) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars17
Table	28-	Fall Color (November) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars17
Table	29-	Fall Color (December) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars18
Table	30-	Seedhead Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	31-	Winter Kill Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	32-	Mole Cricket Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars
Table	33-	Large Brown Patch (Cool Temperature) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Griffin, GA20
Table	34-	Brown Patch Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Gainesville, FL
Table	35-	Brown Patch (Cool Temperature) Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Griffin, GA21
Table	36-	Percent Establishment Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Gainesville, FL21
Table	37-	Percent Establishment Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Miss. St., MS22

# CONTENTS

Table	38-	Percent Establishment Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at Raleigh, NC22
Table	39-	Percent Establishment Ratings of St. Augustinegrass Cultivars at College Station, TX23

# A Guide to NTEP Turfgrass Ratings

# Introduction

The quality and scientific merit of NTEP data is extremely important. However, the evaluation of turfgrass species and cultivars is a difficult and complex issue. Furthermore, turfgrass evaluation is generally a subjective process based on visual estimates of factors, like genetic color, stand density, leaf texture, uniformity and quality. These factors can not be measured in the same way as other agricultural crops. Turfgrass quality is not a measure of yield or nutritive value. Turfgrass quality is a measure of aesthetics (i.e. density, uniformity, texture, smoothness, growth habit and color), and functional use. The most common way of assessing turfgrass quality is a visual rating system that is based on the turfgrass evaluator's judgement.

# **General Considerations**

Most visual ratings collected on NTEP trials are based on a 1 to 9 rating scale. One is the poorest or lowest and 9 is the best or highest rating. However, a few characteristics, such as winter kill or percent living ground cover, are rated on a percentage basis, again by using the evaluator's judgement. Most disease ratings found in NTEP reports will use the 1-9 scale, 9=no disease except where the evaluator made a judgement of the percentage of disease in each plot. Percent disease data will be found in separate tables and will normally not be included with disease data using the 1-9 scale.

# **Turfgrass Quality**

Turfgrass Quality is based on 9 being outstanding or ideal turf and 1 being poorest or dead. A rating of 6 or above is generally considered acceptable. A quality rating value of 9 is reserved for a perfect or ideal grass, but it also can reflect an absolutely outstanding treatment plot. The NTEP requires quality ratings on a monthly basis. Quality ratings take into account the aesthetic and functional aspects of the turf. Quality ratings are not based on color alone, but on a combination of color, density, uniformity, texture, and disease or environmental stress.

Turfgrass quality ratings are grouped and presented by region, management level, a particular stress (shade, traffic, etc.) and in some cases, by individual location (starting with 2001 data, data from each location will be posted separately as well on the NTEP web site, *http://www.ntep.org*). Also available now is a summary table (Appendix) in the back of this report. This summary table includes various statistical measures not previously compiled for NTEP reports. For an explanation of this table and these changes, please go to the NTEP web site at *http://www.ntep.org/pdf/grandmean.mem.pdf*.

# **Other Ratings**

More detailed information on the ratings of specific characteristics can be found on the NTEP web site at *http://www.ntep.org/reports/ratings.htm*.

# 2007 NATIONAL ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS TEST

# LOCATIONS SUBMITTING DATA FOR 2007-12

<u>State</u>	Location	<u>Code</u>
Florida	Gainesville	FL1
Georgia	Griffin	GA1
Mississippi	Mississippi State	MS1
North Carolina	Raleigh	NC1
Texas	College Station	TX2

# 2007 NATIONAL ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS TEST

# Entries and Sponsors

Entry No.	Name	Sponsor
*1	Floratam	Standard entry
*2	Raleigh	Standard entry
*3	Mercedes	Standard entry
4	DALSA 0406	Texas A&M University
5	DALSA 0602	Texas A&M University
*6	Captiva (NUF-76)	Univ. of Florida/Florida Sod Growers Coop.

\* COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE IN THE USA IN 2013.

TABLE A.

### 2007-12 LOCATIONS, SITE DESCRIPTIONS AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN THE 2007 NATIONAL ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS TEST

L	OCATION	SOIL TEXTURE		S0] Pł		SOIL HOSPHOROUS LBS/ACRE)	S POTA	SOIL ASSIUM S/ACRE)	NITROG (LBS/1000		SUN OR SHADE	MOWIN HEIGH (IN)	Т	RRIGATION PRACTICED	
	FL1 GA1 MS1	Sand Loamy San Sandy Loa			-7.0 -6.0 -7.0	- 61-150 151-270		-  -240  -375	1.1-2. 7.1-8. 2.1-3.	0	FULL SUN FULL SUN FULL SUN	N 2.6-3	.0 TO F	PREVENT STE PREVENT STE PREVENT STE	RESS
	NC1 TX2	SILTY CLA SANDY CLA		AY 6.1 7.6		61-150 0-60		)-150 )-150	3.1-4. 2.1-3.		FULL SUN FULL SUN			PREVENT STE PREVENT STE	
TABLE B.						LOCATIONS	S AND DAT	TA COLLEC	TED IN 2007-	12					
LOCATION	JANUARY QUALITY RATING	FEBRUARY QUALITY RATING	MARCH QUALITY RATING	APRIL QUALITY RATING	MAY QUALITY RATING	JUNE QUALITY RATING	JULY QUALITY RATING	AUGUST QUALIT RATING	Y QUALITY	R OCTOBER QUALITY RATING		Y QUALIT	Y GENET	C SPRING GREENUF	LEAF TEXTURE
FL1	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
GA1 MS1			Х	х	X X	X X	X X	X X	X X	X X	X X		X X	X X	х
NC1 TX2				Х	Х	X X	x x	X X	X X	X X	X X		X X	x x	X X
TABLE B.	(CONT'D)					LOCATIONS	AND DATA	A COLLECT	ED IN 2007-1	2					
LOCATION	SPRING DENSITY	SUMMER DENSITY	FALL DENSITY	PERCENT COVER SPRING	PERCENT COVER SUMMER	PERCENT COVER FALL	WINTER COLOR	PERCENT WINTER KILL	BROWN PATCH WARM TEMP.	GRAY LEAF T SPOT	AKE-ALL PATCH	FALL COLOR SEPTEMBER	FALL COLOR OCTOBER	FALL COLOR NOVEMBER	FALL COLOR DECEMBER
FL1 GA1 MS1	Х	X X	х	x x	x	х	X X		x x		х	X X	X X	X X X	X X X
NC1 TX2		X X		X X	x x			Х		Х			х	Х	Х
		TABLE B.	(CONT'D)	)		LOCATIONS	S AND DAT	TA COLLEC	TED IN 2007-	12					
LARGE SEEDHEAD WINTER MOLE BROWN PATCH BROWN PATCH PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT LOCATION RATINGS KILL CRICKET NOV DEC SEP NOV DEC AUG SEP OCT NOV															
		* FL1 GA1 MS1	X X			x	х >	<	x x	x	x	x x			
		NC1 TX2		х							x x				
		* MORE PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT DATA FOR FL1 IN TABLE 36.													

### TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ GROWN AT FOUR LOCATIONS IN THE U.S. FOR AMMI GROUP 1 \*\*/ 2008 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	TX2	FL1	GA1	MS1	MEAN
DALSA 0406 * MERCEDES * RALEIGH DALSA 0602 * FLORATAM * CAPTIVA (NUF	5.5 4.5 4.9 4.8 5.2 -76) 4.3	6.0 5.2 5.3 5.3 5.5 5.0	6.9 6.4 6.3 6.2 6.0 6.3	6.9 6.8 6.3 6.2 5.6 6.7	6.3 5.7 5.6 5.6 5.6
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	1.1 14.3	1.1 13.0	1.1 11.0	1.1 10.9	1.1 12.1

### TABLE 2. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ GROWN AT ONE LOCATION IN THE U.S. FOR AMMI GROUP 2 \*\*/ 2008 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	NC1
MERCEDES	7.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	7.0
DALSA 0406	6.1
RALEIGH	5.5
DALSA 0602	5.4
FLORATAM	3.3
LSD VALUE	1.1
C.V. (%)	12.2

\* COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE IN THE USA IN 2013.

TABLE 1.

- \*\* ENTRIES WITHIN THIS TABLE ARE ORDERED BY THE OVERALL MEAN AND HAVE SIMILAR TURF QUALITY PERFORMANCES IN ALL TEST LOCATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS AMMI GROUP. IF YOUR STATE IS NOT REPRESENTED, THEN CHOOSE AN AMMI GROUP THAT CONTAINS A LOCATION AND MANAGEMENT SIMILAR TO YOUR PLANTING CONDITIONS. FOR MORE INFORMATION ON AMMI, GO TO WWW.NTEP.OR/AMMI\_Q&A.PDF
- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

TABLE 3. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/

# GROWN AT ONE LOCATION IN THE U.S. FOR AMMI GROUP 1 \*\*/

### 2011 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	FL1
FLORATAM	4.7
MERCEDES	4.1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.8
RALEIGH	3.7
DALSA 0602	3.7
DALSA 0406	2.2
LSD VALUE	1.5
C.V. (%)	25.3

### TABLE 4. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ GROWN AT THREE LOCATIONS IN THE U.S. FOR AMMI GROUP 2 \*\*/ 2011 DATA

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	GA1	NC1	MS1	MEAN
MERCEDES RALEIGH	5.3 4.7	6.1 5.3	7.1 6.0	6.2 5.3
DALSA 0406	3.7	5.0	6.4	5.0
DALSA 0602	4.4	4.6	5.0	4.7
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6
FLORATAM	4.6	3.4	2.5	3.5
LSD VALUE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
C.V. (%)	20.5	19.4	17.6	19.1

- \*\* ENTRIES WITHIN THIS TABLE ARE ORDERED BY THE OVERALL MEAN AND HAVE SIMILAR TURF QUALITY PERFORMANCES IN ALL TEST LOCATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS AMMI GROUP. IF YOUR STATE IS NOT REPRESENTED, THEN CHOOSE AN AMMI GROUP THAT CONTAINS A LOCATION AND MANAGEMENT SIMILAR TO YOUR PLANTING CONDITIONS. FOR MORE INFORMATION ON AMMI, GO TO WWW.NTEP.OR/AMMI\_Q&A.PDF
- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

### TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ GROWN IN LOCATION PERFORMANCE INDEX (LPI) GROUP 1 \*\*/ 2012 DATA

TABLE 5.

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

NAME	GA1
FLORATAM MERCEDES	6.5 6.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.9
DALSA 0602	5.8
RALEIGH	5.1
DALSA 0406	5.0
LSD VALUE	1.4
C.V. (%)	15.5

### TABLE 6. TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ GROWN IN LOCATION PERFORMANCE INDEX (LPI) GROUP 2 \*\*/ 2012 DATA

NAME FL1 MS1 NC1 MEAN MERCEDES 4.6 7.5 6.8 6.3 DALSA 0406 3.6 6.9 5.6 6.4 CAPTIVA (NUF-76) 4.3 6.7 5.8 5.6 RALEIGH 3.7 6.7 6.1 5.5 DALSA 0602 4.2 6.1 5.0 5.1 FLORATAM 4.5 4.9 3.0 4.1 LSD VALUE 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 C.V. (%) 21.3 13.7 16.0 16.4

TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=IDEAL TURF 2/

- \*\*/ ENTRIES WITHIN THIS TABLE ARE ORDERED BY THE OVERALL MEAN AND HAVE SIMILAR TURF QUALITY PERFORMANCES IN ALL TEST LOCATIONS INCLUDED IN THIS LPI GROUP. IF YOUR STATE IS NOT REPRESENTED, THEN CHOOSE A LPI GROUP THAT CONTAINS A LOCATION AND MANAGEMENT SIMILAR TO YOUR PLANTING CONDITIONS. FOR MORE INFORMATION ON LPI, GO TO WWW.NTEP.ORG/LPI Q&A.PDF
- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

TABLE 7.

#### MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS

# AT GAINESVILLE, FL 1/

2007-12 DATA

### TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

NAME	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
FLORATAM	3.1	2.1	4.3	4.9	5.7	5.9	6.2	5.8	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.8	5.2
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.0	2.8	3.8	3.6	4.0	4.7	5.5	5.8	5.7	5.0	4.4	4.5	4.6
DALSA 0406	3.2	1.7	3.5	4.3	4.0	4.3	5.2	6.1	6.4	5.3	4.6	3.9	4.4
MERCEDES	3.1	2.8	4.0	4.1	5.1	5.0	5.3	5.2	5.2	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.4
RALEIGH	2.9	2.3	3.4	4.2	5.2	5.6	5.4	5.0	4.9	5.0	3.9	3.9	4.4
DALSA 0602	3.3	2.1	3.4	3.9	5.0	5.0	5.2	4.7	3.7	4.6	4.2	4.1	4.3
LSD VALUE	2.8	1.3	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.1	0.9	1.2
C.V. (%)	53.5	42.6	28.9	32.4	27.5	24.3	22.0	21.8	25.4	23.8	25.2	24.0	22.1

### TABLE 8. MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT GRIFFIN, GA 1/ 2007-12 DATA

### TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

NAME	MAR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	MEAN
MERCEDES	2.7	5.8	6.3	6.7	7.0	6.8	6.3	5.4	6.3
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	2.7	4.5	5.5	6.4	6.5	6.7	6.2	5.9	5.8
FLORATAM	2.7	4.7	5.3	5.9	6.7	6.3	5.8	5.6	5.8
RALEIGH	2.0	4.7	6.1	6.2	6.6	6.7	5.3	4.8	5.7
DALSA 0602	2.0	4.7	5.4	6.1	6.5	6.3	5.6	4.8	5.6
DALSA 0406	2.0	4.0	4.5	5.3	6.5	6.5	5.3	5.1	5.2
LSD VALUE	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.3	0.9	1.5	2.4	0.9
C.V. (%)	23.5	30.8	27.3	20.0	16.9	11.2	23.5	31.2	17.7

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

### TABLE 9. MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS

# AT MISS. ST., MS 1/

2007-12 DATA

NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	MEAN
DALSA 0406	5.2	5.9	6.3	6.7	7.3	7.1	6.8	6.4	6.4
MERCEDES	5.6	6.3	6.5	6.6	6.9	6.9	6.6	6.6	6.4
RALEIGH	5.5	6.1	6.3	6.3	6.7	6.8	6.2	6.1	6.1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.2	4.8	5.0	5.3	5.5	5.7	5.6	5.0	5.2
DALSA 0602	3.8	4.5	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.2	5.2
FLORATAM	2.7	3.2	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.4	3.9	4.0
LSD VALUE	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6
C.V. (%)	25.7	22.6	21.0	20.6	20.1	21.5	21.2	18.3	18.3

### TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

### TABLE 10. MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT RALEIGH, NC 1/ 2007-12 DATA

### TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	MEAN
MERCEDES	6.0	6.0	7.3	7.5	7.3	6.8	7.2	7.2	7.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.5	4.9	6.1	6.1	6.7	6.9	6.5	6.6	6.1
DALSA 0602	3.2	4.3	6.0	6.4	6.5	5.7	6.0	5.3	5.6
RALEIGH	4.5	4.9	5.7	5.9	5.7	5.4	5.3	4.9	5.4
DALSA 0406	2.7	3.5	4.9	5.1	5.9	5.7	5.5	5.3	5.0
FLORATAM	1.2	2.7	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.5	3.7	3.7
LSD VALUE	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.6
C.V. (%)	27.2	40.8	23.6	20.9	17.2	18.5	17.9	21.7	17.2

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

### TABLE 11. MEAN TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT COLLEGE STATION, TX 1/ 2007-12 DATA

NAME	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	MEAN
DALSA 0406	5.7	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	5.3	6.1
DALSA 0602	4.0	6.0	6.0	5.7	5.0	4.0	5.1
FLORATAM	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.3	5.3	4.0	4.9
MERCEDES	4.3	5.0	5.3	5.0	4.7	4.0	4.7
RALEIGH	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	4.6
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.3	5.0	4.3	4.0	3.3	2.7	3.8
LSD VALUE	2.8	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.8
C.V. (%)	27.1	14.9	16.4	17.0	19.3	20.9	17.1

### TURFGRASS QUALITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=BEST 2/

### TABLE 12. GENETIC COLOR RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

GENETIC	COLOR	RATINGS	1-9;	9=DARK	GREEN	2/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MS1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.4	8.0	7.2
DALSA 0406	6.7	5.7	6.6	6.8	7.0	6.6
FLORATAM	6.8	6.2	5.8	6.7	7.3	6.4
RALEIGH	6.4	6.8	6.4	6.1	6.3	6.4
MERCEDES	5.7	6.9	6.4	6.3	6.3	6.4
DALSA 0602	6.2	5.9	5.0	4.9	7.0	5.4
LSD VALUE	1.1	1.6	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.8
C.V. (%)	10.4	15.6	6.5	11.7	5.8	11.8

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

### TABLE 13. SPRING GREENUP RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

NAME	FL1	GA1	MS1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
MERCEDES	4.0	5.9	5.0	5.4	4.3	5.0
RALEIGH	3.2	4.2	4.5	3.8	4.3	4.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.4	3.9	3.9	3.4	5.3	3.9
DALSA 0406	3.0	3.4	4.5	2.5	7.0	3.8
DALSA 0602	2.9	3.9	3.1	3.1	6.0	3.4
FLORATAM	3.2	3.7	2.1	1.9	5.0	2.7
LSD VALUE	1.1	1.7	0.9	1.4	2.4	0.8
C.V. (%)	18.8	26.4	15.9	26.9	27.6	22.6

### SPRING GREENUP RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETELY GREEN 2/

### TABLE 14. LEAF TEXTURE RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

NAME	FL1	MS1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	6.9	6.8	7.7	5.7	7.2
MERCEDES	5.6	6.3	7.2	5.3	6.6
RALEIGH	5.0	5.7	6.5	4.0	5.8
DALSA 0406	4.8	6.1	5.8	5.3	5.6
DALSA 0602	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.9
FLORATAM	3.6	4.2	3.7	3.0	3.9
LSD VALUE	0.7	0.6	0.8	1.5	0.5
C.V. (%)	8.8	6.7	8.6	20.2	8.7

LEAF TEXTURE RATINGS 1-9; 9=VERY FINE 2/

- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

# TABLE 15. SPRING DENSITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

### DENSITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=MAXIMUM DENSITY 2/

NAME	FL1
FLORATAM	6.1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.8
RALEIGH	5.6
MERCEDES	5.6
DALSA 0406	5.3
DALSA 0602	5.2
LSD VALUE	1.3
C.V. (%)	15.2

### TABLE 16. SUMMER DENSITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

NAME	FL1	GA1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
MERCEDES	6.3	7.5	7.8	4.7	7.3
DALSA 0602	6.2	6.2	6.8	5.0	6.6
RALEIGH	6.3	6.7	6.4	5.0	6.5
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	6.7	7.2	6.0	3.7	6.3
DALSA 0406	5.6	5.3	5.2	6.7	5.5
FLORATAM	6.3	5.5	4.1	5.0	5.1
LSD VALUE	1.3	2.6	1.4	2.3	1.2
C.V. (%)	14.0	26.2	14.0	28.3	17.3

DENSITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=MAXIMUM DENSITY 2/

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

### TABLE 17. FALL DENSITY RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

### DENSITY RATINGS 1-9; 9=MAXIMUM DENSITY 2/

NAME	FL1
FLORATAM	6.2
RALEIGH	5.9
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.8
DALSA 0406	5.8
MERCEDES	5.8
DALSA 0602	5.4
LSD VALUE	1.3
C.V. (%)	14.1

### TABLE 18. PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER (SPRING) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

NAME	FL1	MS1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
MERCEDES	46.7	87.0	95.1	26.7	79.2
RALEIGH	21.7	87.7	91.4	26.7	76.5
DALSA 0406	38.3	82.8	73.1	41.7	70.3
DALSA 0602	36.7	58.3	86.0	25.0	57.1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	51.7	60.0	74.3	8.3	54.7
FLORATAM	33.3	37.3	66.1	36.7	39.0
LSD VALUE	13.5	21.3	12.8	28.0	15.1
C.V. (%)	22.1	20.4	11.2	63.3	20.7

PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER IN SPRING: LOCATIONS 2/

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

### TABLE 19. PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER (SUMMER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER IN SUMMER: LOCATIONS 2/

NAME	GA1	NC1	TX2	MEAN
MERCEDES	84.2	97.7	36.7	81.0
FLORATAM	83.2	99.0	55.0	80.1
DALSA 0602	87.5	97.7	31.7	79.1
RALEIGH	86.5	99.0	35.0	78.9
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	84.2	96.3	21.7	77.2
DALSA 0406	72.3	99.0	65.0	76.4
LSD VALUE	23.1	2.6	31.3	14.7
C.V. (%)	16.5	1.7	47.7	15.9

### TABLE 20. PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER (FALL) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

PERCENT LIVING GROUND COVER IN FALL: LOCATIONS 2/

NAME	GA1
RALEIGH	96.0
FLORATAM	93.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	92.7
MERCEDES	88.0
DALSA 0602	76.0
DALSA 0406	63.0
LSD VALUE	37.2
C.V. (%)	27.3

- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

### TABLE 21. WINTER COLOR RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

WINTER COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/ 3/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MEAN
MERCEDES	3.5	2.7	3.7
FLORATAM	3.4	2.7	3.6
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.0	2.7	3.1
DALSA 0406	3.0	2.0	3.1
RALEIGH	2.9	2.0	3.1
DALSA 0602	2.4	2.0	2.6
LSD VALUE	1.0	0.9	0.9
C.V. (%)	21.3	24.7	21.1

# TABLE 22. PERCENT WINTER KILL RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

PERCENT WINTER KILL RATINGS: LOCATIONS 2/ 3/

NAME	NC1
FLORATAM	92.8
DALSA 0406 CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	71.7 50.0
DALSA 0602	39.4
RALEIGH	32.2
MERCEDES	18.4
LSD VALUE	18.4
C.V. (%)	22.1

- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.
- 3/ WINTER COLOR RATED AT "FL1" IN 2009, 2011-12 & AT "GA1" IN 2011, AND PERCENT WINTER KILL RATED IN 2008-09 & 2011 .

### TABLE 23. BROWN PATCH (WARM TEMPERATURE) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

NAME	FL1	GA1	MEAN
DALSA 0602 CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0406 FLORATAM RALEIGH MERCEDES	5.3 4.7 6.3 4.0 3.0	4.7 5.0 4.5 4.8 4.5 4.5	4.8 4.5 4.5 4.3 3.8
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	2.2 28.6	3.0 45.0	2.6 45.1

#### BROWN PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/ 3/

# TABLE 24. GRAY LEAF SPOT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

GRAY LEAF SPOT RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/ 3/

NAME	NC1
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	8.0
DALSA 0602	8.0
DALSA 0406	7.7
MERCEDES	7.0
RALEIGH	7.0
FLORATAM	4.0
LSD VALUE	1.8
C.V. (%)	15.9

- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.
- 3/ BROWN PATCH RATED AT "FL1" IN 2011 & AT "GA1" IN 2010-11, AND GRAY LEAF SPOT RATED IN 2008.

### TABLE 25. TAKE-ALL RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

### TAKE-ALL PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/ 3/

NAME	FL1
FLORATAM	7.0
MERCEDES	5.0
RALEIGH	5.0
DALSA 0602	4.3
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.3
DALSA 0406	3.0
LSD VALUE	1.3
C.V. (%)	17.0

### TABLE 26. FALL COLOR (SEPTEMBER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

FALL COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/

FL1	GA1	MEAN
7.2	7.3	7.4
5.8	7.1	6.9
6.2	6.9	6.8
5.4	7.0	6.7
7.0	6.6	6.6
3.7	6.6	6.0
1.5	1.0	1.0
16.0	9.3	11.0
	7.2 5.8 6.2 5.4 7.0 3.7 1.5	7.2 7.3   5.8 7.1   6.2 6.9   5.4 7.0   7.0 6.6   3.7 6.6   1.5 1.0

- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.
- 3/ TAKE-ALL RATED IN 2011 ONLY.

### TABLE 27. FALL COLOR (OCTOBER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

NAME	FL1	GA1	NC1	MEAN
FLORATAM CAPTIVA (NUF-76) DALSA 0602 MERCEDES DALSA 0406 RALEIGH	5.7 5.7 3.8 4.7 3.7 5.2	6.5 6.3 5.8 6.7 5.6 5.8	6.8 6.9 8.1 6.2 6.8 4.4	6.4 6.3 6.1 5.8 5.2
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	1.2 15.6	1.4 15.9	1.5 14.7	1.1 16.3

FALL COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/

### TABLE 28. FALL COLOR (NOVEMBER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

FALL COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/

NAME	FL1	GA1	MS1	NC1	MEAN
DALSA 0602 MERCEDES CAPTIVA (NUF-76) FLORATAM DALSA 0406 RALEIGH	4.4 5.0 5.5 5.8 4.9 4.8	5.2 5.4 5.3 5.2 4.9 4.5	4.0 7.0 3.0 4.7 5.0 6.0	7.2 6.6 6.4 5.4 5.9 4.6	5.9 5.9 5.6 5.5 4.7
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	1.4 15.9	1.7 23.0	0.4 4.8	1.1 12.0	0.9 15.5

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

### TABLE 29. FALL COLOR (DECEMBER) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

NAME	FL1	GA1	MS1	NC1	MEAN
MERCEDES	4.5	2.3	6.0	5.3	4.9
DALSA 0602	4.4	2.0	3.0	4.7	4.7
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.6	2.0	4.0	5.1	4.6
FLORATAM	6.2	2.0	3.0	2.7	4.2
DALSA 0406	5.0	2.0	5.0	3.9	4.1
RALEIGH	3.8	2.0	4.7	3.5	3.7
LSD VALUE	1.7	0.4	0.4	1.2	1.2
C.V. (%)	21.1	11.5	5.8	18.2	19.1

FALL COLOR RATINGS 1-9; 9=COMPLETE COLOR RETENTION 2/

### TABLE 30. SEEDHEAD RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

SEEDHEAD RATING	GS 1-9;	9=NONE	2/
NAME	GA1	MS1	MEAN
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	7.7	7.2	7.2
DALSA 0602	5.3	7.2	7.1
FLORATAM	5.3	7.1	6.9
MERCEDES	8.3	5.7	6.2
DALSA 0406	3.0	6.1	5.6
RALEIGH	4.7	4.3	4.4
LSD VALUE	3.2	0.8	1.1
C.V. (%)	34.7	8.1	13.9

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

TABLE 31. WINTER KILL RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

### WINTER KILL RATINGS 1-9; 9=NONE 2/ 3/

NAME	NC1
MERCEDES	7.3
RALEIGH	7.3
DALSA 0602	5.3
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	4.3
FLORATAM	4.3
DALSA 0406	2.3
LSD VALUE	2.6
C.V. (%)	31.6

### TABLE 32. MOLE CRICKET DAMAGE RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ 2007-12 DATA

MOLE CRICKET DAMAGE RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DAMAGE 2/ 3/

NAME	FL1
RALEIGH	9.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	8.7
DALSA 0406	8.7
DALSA 0602	8.7
FLORATAM	8.7
MERCEDES	8.3
LSD VALUE	0.8
C.V. (%)	6.1

- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.
- 3/ WINTER KILL RAT RATED IN 2010 AND MOLE CRICKET DAMAGE RATED IN 2009.

### TABLE 33. LARGE BROWN PATCH RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT GAINESVILLE, FL 1/ 2012 DATA

### LARGE BROWN PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/

NAME	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	6.3	7.0	6.7
DALSA 0406	6.0	6.0	6.0
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.3	4.3	4.8
MERCEDES	4.5	5.0	4.8
DALSA 0602	4.7	4.0	4.3
RALEIGH	3.7	3.7	3.7
LSD VALUE	2.4	2.4	2.2
C.V. (%)	18.9	19.7	18.1

### TABLE 34. BROWN PATCH RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS AT GAINESVILLE, FL 1/ 2009 DATA

NAME	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	8.3	7.3	7.8
MERCEDES	4.7	5.3	5.0
RALEIGH	4.0	5.3	4.7
DALSA 0602	3.0	4.3	3.7
DALSA 0406	3.0	4.0	3.5
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	3.3	3.0	3.2
LSD VALUE	1.8	1.5	1.0
C.V. (%)	22.7	16.6	12.4

BROWN PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/

- 1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).
- 2/ C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

### TABLE 35. BROWN PATCH (COOL TEMPERATURE) RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS

AT GRIFFIN, GA 1/ 2011 DATA

### BROWN PATCH RATINGS 1-9; 9=NO DISEASE 2/

NAME	SEPTEMBER	NOVEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	7.0	5.3	6.2
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.7	5.3	5.5
DALSA 0406	6.0	5.0	5.5
DALSA 0602	4.7	4.3	4.5
MERCEDES	5.3	3.7	4.5
RALEIGH	3.7	2.7	3.2
LSD VALUE	4.9	3.8	4.1
C.V. (%)	38.0	37.8	36.1

TABLE 36.

### PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ AT GAINESVILLE, FL 2/ 2007-08 DATA

NAME	NOVEMBER 2007	DECEMBER 2007	JANUARY 2008	FEBRUARY 2008	MARCH 2008	APRIL 2008	MAY 2008	JUNE 2008	JULY 2008	AUGUST 2008	SEPTEMBER 2008	MEAN
FLORATAM	15.0	25.0	30.0	28.3	33.3	76.7	83.3	93.3	96.3	97.7	99	61.6
DALSA 0406	11.7	21.7	25.0	28.3	28.3	66.7	80.0	94.7	97.7	99.0	99	59.3
DALSA 0602	10.0	13.3	21.7	21.7	23.3	53.3	60.0	83.3	91.7	96.3	99	52.2
RALEIGH	5.0	10.0	18.3	18.3	21.7	50.0	63.3	88.3	90.0	94.3	99	50.8
MERCEDES	10.0	13.3	18.3	18.3	25.0	46.7	53.3	73.3	83.3	88.3	99	48.1
CAPTIVA (NUF	-76) 6.7	10.0	16.7	18.3	20.0	33.3	50.0	76.7	83.3	91.7	99	46.0
LSD VALUE	2.6	7.9	5.5	9.8	8.4	18.4	16.1	14.0	12.1	10.4	0	5.9
C.V. (%)	15.3	27.3	14.0	21.6	16.8	18.3	13.5	8.4	6.6	5.1	0	6.2

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

### TABLE 37. PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/

# AT MISS. ST., MS $\,$ 2/ $\,$

2007 DATA

NAME	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	61.7	93.3	98.0	84.3
DALSA 0406 RALEIGH	50.0 45.0	85.0 81.7	93.3 90.0	76.1 72.2
DALSA 0602	41.7	76.7	90.0	69.4
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	41.7	75.0	88.3	68.3
MERCEDES	40.0	75.0	88.3	67.8
LSD VALUE C.V. (%)	16.4 17.2	12.3 7.7	9.7 4.9	11.4 7.8

### TABLE 38. PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ AT RALEIGH, NC 2/ 2007 DATA

NAME	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	MEAN
FLORATAM	75.0	92.7	96.0	87.9
DALSA 0406	78.3	84.7	91.0	84.7
MERCEDES	63.3	73.3	84.7	73.8
RALEIGH	51.7	70.0	83.0	68.2
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	46.7	60.0	76.7	61.1
DALSA 0602	38.3	60.0	76.7	58.3
LSD VALUE	21.3	32.4	26.7	25.0
C.V. (%)	19.2	20.6	13.8	16.8

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).

<sup>2/</sup> C.V. (COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION) INDICATES THE PERCENT VARIATION OF THE MEAN IN EACH COLUMN.

### TABLE 39. PERCENT ESTABLISHMENT RATINGS OF ST. AUGUSTINEGRASS CULTIVARS 1/ AT COLLEGE STATION, TX 2/ 2007 DATA

NAME	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER
FLORATAM	12.3	17.7	22.7	25.0
DALSA 0406	6.3	11.7	18.3	23.3
RALEIGH	7.0	12.3	16.0	19.3
DALSA 0602	8.7	11.3	11.3	16.7
MERCEDES	5.7	8.0	9.3	13.3
CAPTIVA (NUF-76)	5.7	7.3	7.0	8.3
LSD VALUE	6.3	11.3	21.2	21.2
C.V. (%)	38.5	44.3	65.2	52.6

1/ TO DETERMINE STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES AMONG ENTRIES, SUBTRACT ONE ENTRY'S MEAN FROM ANOTHER ENTRY'S MEAN. STATISTICAL DIFFERENCES OCCUR WHEN THIS VALUE IS LARGER THAN THE CORRESPONDING LSD VALUE (LSD 0.05).